# LEWISHAM FUTURE PROGRAMME – SAVINGS REPORT APPENDICES – SEPTEMBER 2015 APPENDIX 12 – SAVINGS PROPOSALS FOR SCRUTINY, SECTION O

### Contents page

### Section O: Public Services

O4: Financial Assessments Review	217
O5: Discretionary Freedom Pass: Withdrawal of discretionary scheme	221
Draft Consultation on Proposed Removal of Discretionary Freedom Pass Scheme	225

1. Savings proposal	
Proposal title:	Financial Assessments review
Reference:	O4
LFP work strand:	Public Services
Directorate:	Customer Services Directorate
Head of Service:	Ralph Wilkinson
Service/Team area:	Public Services / Benefits
Cabinet portfolio:	Resources
Scrutiny Ctte(s):	Public Accounts / Healthier Communities

2. Decision Route			
Saving proposed:	Key Decision Yes/No	Public Consultation Yes/No	Staff Consultation Yes/No
a) Review Financial Assessment staff structure	No	No	Yes

### 3. Description of service area and proposal

Description of the service area (functions and activities) being reviewed:

The Benefit Service is responsible for administering the payment of housing benefit, discretionary housing payments, council tax reductions, concessionary awards (freedom passes, blue badges and taxi cards) and the local support scheme.

In October 2014 the service became responsible for adult social care financial assessments as part of the Council's approach to join up assessment services where possible. The team responsible for financial assessments carry out 3,500 assessments each year but they are also responsible for managing client finances – around 50 as deputy's and 350 as appointees and some of the client property services arranging some 50 property searches and 70 funerals each year.

### Saving proposal

To review the way financial assessment service operates and reorganise to take advantage of streamlined procedures, better use of existing information and make better use of technology.

### 4. Impact and risks of proposal

Outline impact to service users, partners, other Council services and staff:

The outcome of the review will be a better service with less information requested from service users, faster processing times and clear procedures in place for dealing with appointee/deputyships.

Outline risks associated with proposal and mitigating actions:

The risk is that the new procedures do not meet the requirements of adult social care. A board, chaired by the Head of Public Services, has been set up to oversee the

4. Impact and risks of proposal review and is attended by Head of Adult Social Care and others from the Community Services Directorate.

5. Financial information				
Controllable budget:	Spend £'000	Income £'000	Net Budget £'000	
	268	0	268	
Saving proposed:	2016/17 £'000	2017/18 £'000	Total £'000	
a) Reorganisation	100		100	
Total				
% of Net Budget	37 %	%	37 %	
Does proposal	General Fund	DSG	HRA	
impact on: Yes / No	Yes	No	No	
If impact on DSG or				
HRA describe:				

6. Impact on Corporate priorities				
Main priority	Second priority	Corporate priorities		
		1. Community leadership and empowerment		
8	10	2. Young people's achievement and involvement		
		3. Clean, green and liveable		
Impact on main	Impact on second	4. Safety, security and a visible		
priority – Positive /	priority – Positive /	presence		
Neutral / Negative	Neutral / Negative	5. Strengthening the local		
Positive	Positive	economy		
		6. Decent homes for all		
Level of impact on	Level of impact on	7. Protection of children		
main priority –	second priority –	8. Caring for adults and the older		
High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	people		
Low	Low	9. Active, healthy citizens		
		10. Inspiring efficiency,		
		effectiveness and equity		

7. Ward impact	
Geographical	No specific impact / Specific impact in one or more
impact by ward:	No specific impact
	If impacting one or more wards specifically – which?

8. Service equalities impact				
Expected impact on service	e equalities fo	or users – High / Medium / L	ow or N/A	
Ethnicity:	N/A	Pregnancy / Maternity:	N/A	
Gender:	N/A	Marriage & Civil	N/A	
		Partnerships:		
Age:	N/A	Sexual orientation:	N/A	
Disability:	N/A	Gender reassignment:	N/A	
Religion / Belief:	N/A	Overall:	N/A	
For any High impact service equality areas please explain why and what				

### 8. Service equalities impact

mitigations are proposed:

Is a full service equalities impact assessment required: Yes / No

No

9. Human Resources impact					
Will this savi	Will this saving proposal have an impact on employees: Yes / No Yes				
Workforce p	rofile:				
Posts	Headcount	FTE	Establishm	Vac	ant
	in post	in post	ent posts	Agency / Interim cover	Not covered
Scale 1 – 2					
Scale 3 – 5					
Sc 6 – SO2	7	7	7		
PO1 – PO5	0	0	1	1	
PO6 – PO8					
SMG 1 – 3					
JNC					
Total					
Gender	Female	Male			
	4	3			
Ethnicity	BME	White	Other	Not Known	
	1	6			
Disability	Yes	No			
Sexual	Known	Not known			
orientation					

### 10. Legal implications

State any specific legal implications relating to this proposal:

None

### 11. Summary timetable

Outline timetable for main steps to be completed re decision and implementation of proposal – e.g. proposal, scrutiny, consultation (public/staff), decision, transition work (contracts, re-organisation etc..), implementation:

Month	Activity
August 2015	Proposals prepared (this template and supporting papers
	<ul> <li>– e.g. draft public consultation)</li> </ul>
September 2015	Proposals submitted to Scrutiny committees leading to M&C
	on 30 September
October 2015	Consultations ongoing
November 2015	Consultations ongoing and (full decision) reports returned to
	Scrutiny for review
December 2015	Consultations returned to Scrutiny for review leading to M&C
	for decision on 9 December

11. Summary timetable			
January 2016	Transition work ongoing		
February 2016	Transition work ongoing and budget set 24 February		
March 2016	Savings implemented		

1. Savings proposal	
Proposal title:	End entitlement to discretionary Freedom Pass
Reference:	O5
LFP work strand:	Public Services
Directorate:	Customer Services
Head of Service:	Ralph Wilkinson
Service/Team area:	Public Services / Benefits
Cabinet portfolio:	Resources
Scrutiny Ctte(s):	Safer and Stronger Communities

2. Decision Route			
Saving proposed:	Key Decision Yes/No	Public Consultation Yes/No	Staff Consultation Yes/No
a) End discretionary Freedom Pass scheme	Yes	Yes	No
b) Close discretionary Freedom Pass scheme to new applicants	Yes	Yes	No
applicants			

### 3. Description of service area and proposal

Description of the service area (functions and activities) being reviewed:

The Benefit Service is responsible for administering the payment of housing benefit, discretionary housing payments, council tax reductions, concessionary awards (freedom passes, blue badges and taxi cards) the local support scheme and financial assessments.

The Council issues Freedom Passes to all residents who meet the national eligibility criteria in relation to age or disability. In addition, discretionary Freedom Passes are issued to those residents who do not meet the national criteria but have mobility or mental health issues. There are currently 1,471 people are in receipt of discretionary Freedom Passes.

Saving proposal

The proposal is to withdraw the discretionary Freedom Pass with effect from 2016. As the cost is based on usage it is difficult to be precise about exactly how much could be saved but estimates suggest the saving would be in excess of £200k pa.

The criteria for entitlement to a discretionary Freedom Pass are:

### Criteria for mobility disability:

- 1. Can walk to a distance of 300 metres, but not able to walk further than this without pain or discomfort.
- 2. Applicant has a degenerative medical condition effecting mobility

### Criteria for mental health conditions:

That the applicant has an enduring mental health condition and has accessed

3. Description of service area and proposal

secondary care mental health services in the last 12 months.

There are 1,471 discretionary Freedom Passes in use (of which 162 have been awarded under the mobility criteria and 1,309 under the mental health criteria).

Important - The proposal does not impact on the national Freedom Pass scheme for elderly persons and for specific disabilities.

There are 32,000 elderly persons national Freedom Passes in use.

*There are 5,000 disabled persons national Freedom Passes in use. See appendix 1 for eligibility.* 

Although withdrawing the discretionary Freedom Pass will impact on some households, there 2 are alternative schemes that may help negate the impact and are at no cost to the Council.

**Job Centre Plus travel discount card** (valid for up to 3 months) – This is available to residents who have been unemployed for 3 months and over, received a qualifying benefit or must be working with an advisor for a return to work, they will be able to apply for a concession that gives them half-price travel;

**60+ London Oyster card** – This is available to residents who live in a London borough, are over the age of 60 but who do not qualify for a Freedom Pass and they will qualify fro free travel.

A recent sampling of those residents currently receiving a discretionary Freedom Pass suggested that 68% would qualify for an alternative concession, this being 63% who would qualify for the JC+ travel discount card and 5% for the 60+ London Oyster card.

A recent survey of the 33 London Boroughs found 19 (58%) have a discretionary scheme and 15 of these do not intend withdrawing it. Excluding Lewisham, of the remaining 3 boroughs, 2 are reviewing their qualifying criteria and one did not respond.

An alternative option to this saving would be to close the discretionary freedom pass scheme to new applicants – saving £20,000 in year 1 plus a further £20,000 in year 2 and in year 3. This is based on previous years where an average of 100 discretionary freedom passes holders per year are no longer entitled because their circumstances change (e.g. they move or they reach the national scheme age for an elderly persons freedom pass).

### 4. Impact and risks of proposal

Outline impact to service users, partners, other Council services and staff:

Some service users with mobility or mental health needs will no longer be entitled to free public transport in London.

Outline risks associated with proposal and mitigating actions:

### 4. Impact and risks of proposal

**The saving impacts on other services** – this may happen where the withdrawal of the Freedom Pass means the person becomes reliant on other Council services. A recent sample review found 7 of the 10 mobility cases sampled and 12 of the 40 mental health cases were no longer in receipt of services.

**The saving is not achieved because it was an estimate** – the saving is based on average usage so should be reasonably accurate. However, charging is done in arrears so there may be an issue with timing where the saving is not achieved in year 1. The timing / charging mechanism is being reviewed and discussed with London Councils who oversee the scheme.

**Council reputation** – communications will need to explain the reason for the change in policy. Not all London boroughs offer a discretionary scheme and of those that do some have withdrawn them or are reviewing them.

5. Financial information				
Controllable budget:	Spend £'000	Income £'000	Net Budget £'000	
	12,242	(24)	12,218	
Saving proposed:	2016/17 £'000	2017/18 £'000	Total £'000	
a) Either end scheme	200		200	
b) or close to new	20	20	40	
applicants				
Total	20-200	0-20	40-200	
% of Net Budget	0.2%-2%	0%-0.2%	0.3%-2%	
Does proposal	General Fund	DSG	HRA	
impact on: Yes / No	Yes	No	No	
If impact on DSG or				
HRA describe:				

6. Impact on Corpora	ate priorities		
Main priority	Second priority	Corporate priorities	
		1. Community leadership and empowerment	
8		2. Young people's achievement and involvement	
		3. Clean, green and liveable	
Impact on main priority – Positive /	Impact on second priority – Positive /	<ol> <li>Safety, security and a visible presence</li> </ol>	
Neutral / Negative	Neutral / Negative	5. Strengthening the local	
Negative		economy	
		6. Decent homes for all	
Level of impact on	Level of impact on	7. Protection of children	
main priority –	second priority –	8. Caring for adults and the older	
High / Medium / Low	High / Medium / Low	people	
Medium		9. Active, healthy citizens	
		10. Inspiring efficiency,	
		effectiveness and equity	

7. Ward impact	
Geographical	No specific impact / Specific impact in one or more
impact by ward:	All
	If impacting one or more wards specifically – which?
	All

8. Service equalities impact				
Expected impact on service equalities for users – High / Medium / Low or N/A				
Ethnicity:	N/A	Pregnancy / Maternity:	N/A	
Gender:	N/A	Marriage & Civil	N/A	
		Partnerships:		
Age:	N/A	Sexual orientation:	N/A	
Disability:	М	Gender reassignment:	N/A	
Religion / Belief:	N/A	Overall:	М	
For any High impact service equality areas please explain why and what				
mitigations are proposed:				
N/A				

Is a full service equalities impact assessment required: Yes / No

### 9. Human Resources impact

Will this saving proposal have an impact on employees: Yes / No

No

Yes

### 10. Legal implications

State any specific legal implications relating to this proposal:

None

### 11. Summary timetable

Outline timetable for main steps to be completed re decision and implementation of proposal – e.g. proposal, scrutiny, consultation (public/staff), decision, transition work (contracts, re-organisation etc..), implementation:

Month	Activity
August 2015	Proposals prepared (this template and supporting papers
	– e.g. draft public consultation)
September 2015	Proposals submitted to Scrutiny committees leading to M&C
	on 30 September
October 2015	Consultations ongoing
November 2015	Consultations ongoing and (full decision) reports returned to
	Scrutiny for review
December 2015	Consultations returned to Scrutiny for review leading to M&C
	for decision on 9 December
January 2016	Transition work ongoing
February 2016	Transition work ongoing and budget set 24 February
March 2016	Savings implemented



# Customer Services Directorate

Consultation on proposed removal of discretionary Freedom Pass scheme

September 2014

# Part 1 – About this Consultation

### Topic of this consultation

 This consultation is about the proposal to stop issuing new discretionary Freedom Passes and withdraw the 1,175 passes currently in use. Discretionary Freedom Passes, which allow free travel on public transport in London, are issued on application in the following circumstances:

### Criteria for mobility condition:

- Unable to walk over 300 metres unaided
- Applicant has a degenerative medical condition effecting mobility

### Criteria for Mental Health conditions:

- The mental health criteria identified is that the applicant has an enduring mental health condition and has accessed secondary care mental health services in the last 12 months.
- 2. The proposal would generate a saving of approximately £200,000 pa.
- 3. It is estimated that 68% of those affected would qualify for subsidised travel under another travel scheme that is not funded by the Council.

### Audience

- 4. Anyone may respond to this consultation and all responses will be fully considered.
- 5. We are particularly keen to hear from current discretionary Freedom Pass holders and agencies that deliver services to them to understand the impact the proposal may have.

### Duration

6. The consultation will be open for 3 weeks from 4 November 2014. The deadline for responses is 25 November 2014.

### How to Respond

- 7. A letter will be sent to support agencies and 100 discretionary Freedom Pass recipients. There are several ways to respond to this consultation:
  - On the Council web site
  - By post to London Borough of Lewisham, PO Box 58996, London, SE6 9JD

### After the Consultation

8. Once the consultation has closed all responses will be considered and a summary of responses collated and included in a report to Mayor and Cabinet.

# Part 2 – Background

- 9. The Transport Act 2000 sets out the criteria which are used to determine eligibility to the National Freedom Pass scheme. The criteria are:
  - Blind or partially sighted,
  - Profoundly or severely deaf,
  - Without speech,
  - Disabled or has suffered an injury, which has a substantial and long term adverse affect on his/her ability to walk,
  - Without arms or has long term loss of the use of both arms,
  - Has a learning disability, that is, a state of arrested or incomplete development of mind which includes significant impairment of intelligence and social functioning,
  - If applied for the grant of a licence to drive a motor vehicle under Part III of the Road Traffic Act 1988, have his/her application refused pursuant to section 92 of the Act (physical fitness) otherwise than on the ground of persistent misuse of drugs or alcohol.
- 10. There are 37,000 Freedom Pass holders in the borough and the proposal does not impact on any of them.
- 11. The Transport Act 2000 allows the Council to have a locally determined discretionary Freedom Pass scheme for persons with a disability that do not meet the above criteria. In 2008 the Council implemented a discretionary Freedom Passes scheme, which allows free travel on public transport in London. Discretionary Freedom Passes are issued on application in the following circumstances:

### Criteria for mobility condition:

- Unable to walk over 300 metres unaided
- Applicant has a degenerative medical condition effecting mobility

### Criteria for Mental Health conditions:

- The mental health criteria identified is that the applicant has an enduring mental health condition and has accessed secondary care mental health services in the last 12 months.
- 12. There are currently 1,175 discretionary Freedom Passes issued.

Lewisham Council Financial Position

13. Since 2010 the Council has cut more than £100 million from its budget. The Council needs to find savings of £85m in the next 3 years. For this reason the council has been undertaking a fundamental review of all its budgets.

# Part 3 – The proposal

- 14. The proposal is to stop issuing new discretionary Freedom Passes and to withdraw those currently in use to deliver a saving of approximately £200,000 pa.
- 15. A recent sampling exercise of those currently in receipt of a discretionary Freedom Pass suggested that 68% would qualify for an alternative concession, this being 63% who would qualify for the JC+ travel discount card and 5% for the 60+ London Oyster card.
  - JC+ travel discount card This is available to residents who have been unemployed for 3 months and over, received a qualifying benefit or must be working with an advisor for a return to work, they will be able to apply for a concession that gives them half-price travel;
  - 60+ London Oyster card This is available to residents who live in a London borough, are over the age of 60 but who do not qualify for a FP and they will qualify fro free travel.

### Timetable

16. The proposed timetable for the proposal which is subject to agreement by Mayor and Cabinet and the consultation process is:

23 October 2014 – report to Mayor and Cabinet 4 November 2014 – consultation process December 2014 – Mayor and Cabinet January 2014 - implementation

# Part 4 – Consultation Questions

- 17. We are happy to receive responses to this consultation in any format and we are particularly keen to hear your views on the following:
  - b. What will the impact be if the Council stops offering a discretionary Freedom Pass?